Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/913,487	BAUMGARTEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
· .	Jason M. Repko	2628	
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>Non-final Rejection</u>	
(1) <u>Jason M. Repko</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Richard Gollhofer</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 6 December 2006	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
	•	,	
Claims discussed: 24, 25, 27, and 31			
Prior art documents discussed:	•		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	TWAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation. 	ne examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the substanc of the interview, since the interview	е
		,	
:			
		•	
Applelula			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)	İ

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Repko discussed the pending claims and possible amendments to place the claims in condition for allowance in an effort to expedite prosecution. Applicant's representative R. Gollhofer told the Examiner would not be able to authorize any amendments until the Applicant was consulted.